

OCT 05 2009

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MIGUEL RAMOS-CORIA; AMELIA
RAMOS

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

Nos. 06-72109

06-72110

Agency Nos. A047-763-040

A047-729-258

MEMORANDUM*

On Petitions for Review of Orders of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 14, 2009**

Before: SILVERMAN, RAWLINSON, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

In these consolidated petitions for review, Miguel Ramos-Coria and Amelia Ramos, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge's

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

finding that they knowingly participated in alien smuggling, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(E)(i). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo questions of law, *Altamirano v. Gonzales*, 427 F.3d 586, 591 (9th Cir. 2005), and constitutional claims, *Ram v. INS*, 243 F.3d 510, 516 (9th Cir. 2001). We deny the petitions for review.

Contrary to petitioners' contention, the agency correctly interpreted the smuggling statute and found petitioners inadmissible. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(6)(E)(i).

We reject as unpersuasive petitioners' contention that the waiver under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(d)(11) is unconstitutional because it does not include grandchildren. *See Ram*, 243 F.3d at 517 (“‘Line-drawing’ decisions made by Congress or the President in the context of immigration and naturalization must be upheld if they are rationally related to a legitimate government purpose.”).

PETITIONS FOR REVIEW DENIED.